

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

May 21, 1970

9:00 A.M.

COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL

The meeting was called to order with Mayor LaRue presiding.

Roll Call:

Present: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Absent: None

The Invocation was delivered by REVEREND JAMES ABINGTON, Woodlawn Baptist Church.

RECEIVED BIDS ON REVENUE BONDS AND GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

Mayor LaRue noted that it was time to receive bids on the City of Austin Revenue and General Obligation Bonds, ascertained the accuracy of the hour, that there were no other bids having been received in the mailing room, the City Manager's Office, by the Finance Director or by the City Clerk's Office, or to be submitted by anyone present in the Council Chamber.

Mayor LaRue announced it was now 10:30, and the time for receiving bids was closed.

ELECTRIC, WATER AND SEWER REVENUE BONDS

Bids on the \$7,500,000 Electric Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds were then opened and read by the Finance Director as follows:

Name of Bidder	Effective Interest Rate
1. Halsey, Stuart & Co. Inc.	6.5556 %
Smith, Barney & Co. Inc.	
and Associates	

Name of Bidder	Effective Interest Rate
2. The First Boston Corp., Eastman Dillon, Union Securities & Co. Joint Mgrs. & White, Weld & Co. Bear, Stearns & Co., Rowles, Winston & Co., Inc. & Associates	6.5908 %
3. Weeden & Co., Salomon Brothers & Hutzler	6.62053 %
4. Lehman Bros., Kuhn Loeb & Co., First Southwest Co., Shields & Co. Stone & Webster Securities Corp., Hornblower & Weeks, Hemphill, Noyes	6.640409 %
5. Phelps, Fenn & Co., New York, Glore, Forgan, Inc., New York Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, W. H. Morton & Co., Divn. of American Express Co., Jt. Managers & Associates	6.68694 %

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

Bids on the \$3,800,000 General Obligation Bonds were opened and read by the Finance Director as follows:

Name of Bidder	Effective Interest Rate
1. First National Bank in Dallas, Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A.-New York Bank of America, N.A., San Francisco, Calif., Jt. Managers, Franklin National Bank, New York, First National Bank, Ft. Worth, Denver U. S. National Bank and First City National Bank of Houston	6.59850 %
2. Weeden & Co., Salomon Brothers & Hutzler	6.61428 %
3. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Smith, Barney & Co. Inc. & Associates	6.6253 %
4. The Northern Trust Co., Underwood, Neuhaus & Co., Inc., Seattle-First National Bank, First of Michigan Corp., Goodbody & Co., Detroit Bank & Trust Co., Commerce Bank of Kansas City, The Columbian	6.63369 %

Name of Bidder	Effective Interest Rate
4. Securities Corp. of Texas, cont. McCormick & Co., Inc., Moroney, Beissner & Co., Inc., Fulton, Reid & Staples, Inc.	
5. Bankers Trust Co., Republic National Bank of Dallas, Jt. Managers and Associates	6.6882 %
6. Continental Illinois National Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago and Associates	6.71400 %
7. Phelps, Fenn & Co., New York Glore, Forgan, Inc., New York Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith and Associates	6.71509 %
8. First National City Bank, First Southwest Co., Mercantile National Bank of Dallas, Jt. Managers and Associates	6.7194 %
9. Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. of New York, The Philadelphia National Bank	6.7406 %
10. Chemical Bank	6.768912 %
11. Harris Trust & Saving Bank, Chicago, White, Weld & Co. and Associates	6.7801 %

The Council referred the bids to the Finance Director, Bond Counsel and Fiscal Advisor for verification. Later in the Council Meeting Mr. Curtis Adrian, Fiscal Advisor, reported five bids were received for the Revenue Bonds and eleven for the General Obligation Bonds. The low bidder was Halsey, Stuart & Company Inc. Smith Barney & Co., Inc., and Associates, with an effective interest rate of 6.5556 % for the Revenue Bonds. First National Bank in Dallas Joint Managers, and Associates, were low bidders on the General Obligation Bonds at an effective interest rate of 6.59850 %. Mr. Adrian reviewed the current bond market, and made a comparison of the Bond Buyer and Dow-Jones average, noting the City's interest rates were much lower.

REVENUE BONDS

Councilman Gage moved the Council accept the low bidder and award the bonds to Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Smith Barney and Co., Inc., and Associates, at the low interest rate of 6.5556 %. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

Councilman Atkison moved the Council accept the low bidder and award the bonds to the First National Bank in Dallas, Chase Manhattan Bank, N. A. - New York Bank of America, N. A., San Francisco, Calif., (Joint Managers) Franklin National Bank, New York, First National Bank, Fort Worth, Denver U. S. National Bank and First City National Bank of Houston, at the effective interest rate of 6.59850%. The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

ELECTRIC, WATER AND SEWER REVENUE BONDS

The Mayor presented for the City Council's consideration an ordinance authorizing the issuance of \$7,500,000 "CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES NO. 20," dated June 1, 1970, the caption of said ordinance being as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE by the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, authorizing the issuance of \$7,500,000 'CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER, WATERWORKS AND SEWER SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS, SERIES NO. 20,' dated June 1, 1970, of which the sum of \$4,000,000 is for the purpose of extending and improving the City's Electric Light and Power System, the sum of \$1,500,000 is for the purpose of extending and improving the City's Waterworks System, and the sum of \$2,000,000 is for the purpose of extending and improving the City's Sewer System (being the fifth parcel or installment of a total voted authorization of \$34,200,000 and the first parcel or installment of a total voted authorization of \$26,000,000 for Electric Light and Power System purposes, the third parcel or installment of a total voted authorization of \$8,100,000 for Waterworks System purposes, and the second parcel or installment of a total voted authorization of \$7,700,000 for Sewer System purposes), as authorized by the General Laws of the State of Texas, particularly Article 1111 et seq., V.A.T.C.S.; prescribing the form of the bonds and the form of the interest coupons; pledging the net revenues of the City's combined Electric Light and Power, Waterworks and Sewer System to the payment of the principal of and interest on said bonds; enacting provisions incident and relating to the subject and purpose of this ordinance; and declaring an emergency."

The ordinance was read and Councilman Johnson moved that the rule be suspended which requires that no ordinance shall become effective until the expiration of ten days following the date of its final passage, that such ordinance be finally passed and adopted at this meeting, and that, for the reasons recited therein, said ordinance be passed as an emergency measure for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety of the citizens of Austin as permitted by the City

Charter. The motion was seconded by Councilman Price and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor LaRue and Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle and Price.

NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Johnson moved that the rules be further suspended and that the ordinance be passed as an emergency measure to its third reading. The motion was seconded by Councilman Price and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor LaRue and Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle and Price.

NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Johnson moved that the ordinance be finally passed as an emergency measure. The motion was seconded by Councilman Price and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor LaRue and Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle and Price.

NOES: None.

The Mayor then announced that the ordinance had been finally passed and adopted.

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

The Mayor presented for the City Council's consideration an ordinance authorizing the issuance of \$3,800,000 "CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 1970 (NO. 251)," dated June 1, 1970, the caption of said ordinance being as follows:

"AN ORDINANCE by the City Council of the City of Austin, Texas, authorizing the issuance of \$3,800,000 'CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 1970 (NO. 251),' dated June 1, 1970, for the purpose of providing funds for permanent public improvements, to wit: \$400,000 for constructing, equipping, improving and repairing hospital buildings of the City of Austin and acquiring necessary lands therefor; \$200,000 for constructing and improving public parks and public playgrounds of the City of Austin and for constructing, improving and repairing buildings and other recreation facilities of said parks and playgrounds and for acquiring necessary lands and equipment therefor; \$3,200,000 for constructing and improving streets, including bridges and drainage incidental thereto in and for said City of Austin and for acquiring necessary lands therefor; prescribing the form of

the bonds and the form of the interest coupons; levying a continuing direct annual ad valorem tax on all taxable property within the limits of said City to pay the interest on said bonds and to create a sinking fund for the redemption thereof, and providing for the assessment and collection of such taxes; enacting provisions incident and relating to the purpose and subject of this ordinance; and declaring an emergency.

The ordinance was read and Councilman Johnson moved that the rule be suspended which requires that no ordinance shall become effective until the expiration of ten days following the date of its final passage, that such ordinance be finally passed and adopted at this meeting, and that, for the reasons recited therein, said ordinance be passed as an emergency measure for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety of the citizens of Austin as permitted by the City Charter. The motion was seconded by Councilman Atkison and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor LaRue and Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle and Price.

NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Johnson moved that the rules be further suspended and that the ordinance be passed as an emergency measure to its third reading. The motion was seconded by Councilman Atkison and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor LaRue and Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle and Price.

NOES: None.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Johnson moved that the ordinance be finally passed as an emergency measure. The motion was seconded by Councilman Atkison and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor LaRue and Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle and Price.

NOES: None.

The Mayor then announced that the ordinance had been finally passed and adopted.

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE ON VEHICLES FOR HIRE

At 10:00 A.M. the Mayor opened the hearing on the Ordinance relating to Vehicles for Hire. The City Manager stated the Council was in possession of a proposed ordinance regulating primarily taxicabs, and asked that the ordinance be passed on the first reading and any amendments be considered at the next meeting. The Mayor opened the hearing to interested individuals. Mr. Hardy Hollers, one of the attorneys representing the taxicab operators; Mr. Frank Denius, representing Roy Velasquez; Mr. Robert Sneed, Yellow Cab and Checker Front; and Mr. Townsend, Harlem Cab Company, and Mr. Hawkins, representing himself, and other representatives were present. Mr. Hollers, after a number of meetings with the City Manager, reported they were in accord with practically everything he had amended. They had taken the ordinance and made a few changes that should be included in the first reading. He submitted copies of the suggested amended ordinance, and discussed the specific provisions that needed to be made. After a lengthy discussion of these amendments, Councilman Johnson ascertained that the items mentioned were substantially agreed upon by the staff.

Mayor LaRue brought up the following ordinance for its first reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 34 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 TO REPLACE ARTICLE I, ARTICLE II AND ARTICLE IV SETTING FORTH THE RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR OPERATION AND FRANCHISING OF TAXICABS AND LIMOUSINES AS WELL AS SETTING STANDARDS FOR DRIVERS OF SUCH VEHICLES; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT THEREWITH AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Johnson moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes:	None

It was pointed out that last year the City received about \$23,000 from these Taxicab firms; and under the administrative procedures, it spent nearly \$35,000 in enforcement. Under the amended ordinance, the City would realize a savings of over \$30,000.

HEARING ON CONSTRUCTING THEATER BUILDING ON BUTLER TRACT SOUTH OF RECREATION BUILDING

Mayor LaRue noted it was the scheduled time for the hearing to be held on constructing a theater building on Butler Tract half way between Riverside Drive and Toomey Road south of the Recreation Building.

The City Manager stated that this was a continued public hearing for anyone who desired to be heard. He had filed with the Council an agreement worked out with the theater group. Mr. Alvin Golden, President of the Zachary Scott Theater Center stated that the agreement had been worked out between the City and the theater. The City Manager reviewed the provisions of the contract. It was noted there was no maintenance clause in the contract, Mr. Golden stating it was inadvertently omitted. Councilman Gage moved that the Council approve the contract with the one addendum to insert the maintenance clause. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

REQUESTS TO WITHDRAW ZONING APPLICATIONS

Councilman Janes moved the Council deny the request to withdraw the following zoning application and vote to hear it on June 4, 1970:

LORA JANE	1503 Lupine	From "A" Residence 1st Height and
RICHARDSON	Lane	Area to "B" Residence 2nd Height
		and Area
		NOT RECOMMENDED by the Planning
		Commission

The motion, seconded by Councilman MacCorkle, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Gage moved the Council grant the request to withdraw the following zoning application scheduled to be heard by the Council on June 4, 1970, with the stipulation he not reapply within six months:

SAM E. DUNNAM

1601 Pearl St.
808-814 W. 16th
St.From "A" Residence to "B"
Residence

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

ZONING ORDINANCES

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 45 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 AS FOLLOWS:
A 1.016 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF THE ISAAC DECKER LEAGUE NO. 20, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 4103 SOUTH CONGRESS AVENUE, FROM "A" RESIDENCE FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "D" INDUSTRIAL FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Gage moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None
Present But Not
Voting: Councilman Janes

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Gage moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None
Present But Not
Voting: Councilman Janes

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Gage moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None
Present But Not
Voting: Councilman Janes

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND CHANGING THE USE MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 45 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 AS FOLLOWS: LOT 9 AND A PORTION OF LOTS 8 AND 10, BLOCK 11, E. L. GRIFFITH SUBDIVISION OF THE WARD ADDITION, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 3506-3702 GRIFFITH STREET, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Gage moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes:	None
Present But Not Voting:	Councilman Janes

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Gage moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes:	None
Present But Not Voting:	Councilman Janes

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Gage moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes:	None
Present But Not Voting:	Councilman Janes

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE ORDERING A CHANGE IN USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA AND CHANGING THE USE AND HEIGHT AND AREA MAPS ACCOMPANYING CHAPTER 45 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 AS FOLLOWS: A 110.2 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 933-1041 EAST RUNDBERG LANE; 8611-9127 NORTH INTERREGIONAL 35, FROM "A" RESIDENCE

FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT AND "D" INDUSTRIAL FIRST HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT TO "GR" GENERAL RETAIL FIFTH HEIGHT AND AREA DISTRICT; SAID PROPERTY BEING LOCATED IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Price moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Price moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Price moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

ANNEXATION HEARINGS SET

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That a public hearing be held at 10:00 o'clock A.M., in the City Council Chambers of the City of Austin on the 4th day of June, 1970, for the purpose of providing an opportunity for all interested persons to be heard on consideration of the institution of annexation proceedings with respect to the below described property, to-wit:

85.82 acres of land, same being out of and a part of the James P. Wallace Survey Number 18 and the James Rogers Survey in Travis County, Texas, which 85.82 acres of land are more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated April 10, 1969, same being a point in the west right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 183, same also being the most southerly southwest corner of the herein described tract of land, which point of BEGINNING is one hundred and fifty (150.00) feet west of and on a line normal to centerline station 244+45.9 on U. S. Highway 183, which point of BEGINNING is in the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, and from which point of BEGINNING the intersection of the south line of Mesa Drive with the east line of Silversprings Drive bears southwesterly 1,480 feet, more or less;

THENCE, with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin same being said west right-of-way line of U. S. Highway 183, in a northerly direction with the following four (4) courses:

- (1) N 20° 15' W 446.60 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (2) N 23° 28' W 2,100.00 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (3) N 09° 26' W 103.08 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (4) N 23° 28' W 326.10 feet to a concrete highway marker at the point of curvature of a curve having an angle of intersection of 28° 30', a radius of 2,964.79 feet and a tangent distance of 752.96 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the right an arc distance of 1,474.74 feet, the long chord of which arc bears N 09° 13' W 1,459.59 feet to a concrete highway marker at the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, same being said west right-of-way line of U. S. Highway 183, in a northerly direction with the following four (4) courses:

- (1) N. 06° 21' W 151.89 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (2) N. 05° 02' E 700.00 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (3) N 13° 34' E 202.24 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (4) N 05° 02' E 3,760.50 feet to a concrete highway marker at the point of curvature of a curve having an angle of intersection of 14° 16', a radius of 5,629.58 feet and a tangent distance of 704.53 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the left an arc distance of 334.07 feet, the chord of which arc bears N 03° 20' E 334.02 feet to the most northerly corner of the herein described tract of land, same being a point one hundred (100.00) feet west of and on a line normal to centerline station 149+00 on U. S. Highway 183;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, same being said line normal to centerline station 149+00 of U. S. Highway 183, S 88° 22' E 200.00 feet to a point in the curving east right-of-way line of U. S. Highway 183, said curve having an angle of intersection of 14° 16', a radius

of 5,829.58 feet and a tangent distance of 729.56 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the right an arc distance of 276.41 feet, the chord of which arc bears S 03° 00' W 276.38 feet to a point on a curve having an angle of intersection of 26° 10', a radius of 503.61 feet and a tangent distance of 117.04 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the right an arc distance of 229.97 feet, the long chord of which arc bears S 73° 05' E 228.00 feet to an iron pin at the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin in a southeasterly direction with the following twenty-six (26) courses:

- (1) S 60° 00' E 303.74 feet to an iron pin;
- (2) N 30° 00' E 246.18 feet to an iron pin;
- (3) S 59° 59' E 44.42 feet to an iron pin;
- (4) S 59° 44' E 456.44 feet to an iron pin;
- (5) S 57° 41' E 50.00 feet to a concrete monument;
- (6) S 32° 19' W 28.65 feet to an iron pin;
- (7) S 57° 41' E 119.10 feet to an iron pin;
- (8) N 27° 45' E 40.33 feet to an iron pin;
- (9) S 60° 44' E 115.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (10) N 29° 16' E 55.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (11) S 60° 44' E 60.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (12) S 54° 24' E 90.55 feet to an iron pin;
- (13) S 52° 02' E 85.99 feet to an iron pin;
- (14) S 56° 02' E 85.29 feet to an iron pin;
- (15) S 60° 44' E 16.21 feet to an iron pin;
- (16) N 29° 16' E 165.00 feet to an iron pin;
- (17) S 60° 44' E 5.86 feet to an iron pin;
- (18) N 29° 16' E 115.00 feet to a concrete monument;
- (19) S 60° 44' E 480.00 feet to an iron pin;

(20) S 62° 07' E 68.19 feet to an iron pin;

(21) S 72° 17' E 62.08 feet to an iron pin;

(22) S 83° 39' E 62.08 feet to an iron pin;

(23) N 80° 19' E 73.19 feet to an iron pin;

(24) N 79° 58' E 50.00 feet to an iron pin;

(25) S 10° 02' E 70.00 feet to an iron pin;

(26) N 79° 58' E 115.00 feet to an iron pin at the most easterly northeast corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, in a southerly direction with the following five (5) courses:

(1) S 10° 02' E 400.00 feet to a concrete monument;

(2) S 79° 58' W 20.00 feet to an iron pin;

(3) S 10° 02' E 165.00 feet to an iron pin;

(4) S 79° 58' W 90.00 feet to an iron pin;

(5) S 10° 02' E 50.00 feet to an iron pin at an exterior ell corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin in a westerly direction with the following five (5) courses:

(1) S 79° 58' W 708.99 feet to a concrete monument;

(2) N 60° 40' W 42.30 feet to an iron pin;

(3) N 60° 44' W 821.70 feet to a point;

(4) N 60° 37' W 847.59 feet to an iron pin;

(5) N 60° 00' W 71.57 feet to an iron pin at an exterior ell corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, N 30° 00' E 242.00 feet to an iron pin at an interior ell corner of the herein described tract of land;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, N 60° 00' W 303.74 feet to an iron pin at the point of curvature of a curve having an angle of intersection of 26° 18', a radius of 433.61 feet and a tangent distance of 101.30 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the left an arc distance of 199.04 feet, the long chord of which arc bears N 73° 09' W 197.29 feet to a concrete highway marker at the point of tangency of said curve, same being a point in the aforesaid east right-of-way line of U. S. Highway 183;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, same being said east right-of-way line of U. S. Highway 183, in a southerly direction with the following three (3) courses;

- (1) S 05° 02' W 3,760.50 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (2) S 00° 41' E 201.00 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (3) S 05° 02' W 848.90 feet to a concrete highway marker at the point of curvature of a curve having an angle of intersection of 28° 30', a radius of 2,744.79 feet and a tangent distance of 697.09 feet;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin along said curve to the left an arc distance of 1,365.31 feet, the long chord of which arc bears S 09° 13' E 1,351.28 feet to a concrete highway marker at the point of tangency of said curve;

THENCE, continuing with the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, same being said east right-of-way line of U. S. Highway 183, in a southerly direction with the following four (4) courses:

- (1) S 23° 28' E 326.10 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (2) S 27° 45' E 200.56 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (3) S 23° 28' E 2,000.00 feet to a concrete highway marker;
- (4) S 25° 24' E 446.15 feet to a concrete highway marker at the most southerly southeast corner of the herein described tract of land, same being a point in the aforesaid present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated April 10, 1969;

THENCE, with said present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated April 10, 1969, S 66° 32' W 300.00 feet to the point of BEGINNING.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk be authorized and directed to cause a notice of such hearing to be published in a newspaper having general circulation in this City and in the area proposed to be annexed, such publication to be made not more than twenty (20) days, nor less than ten (10) days prior to the hearing.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That a public hearing be held at 10:00 o'clock A.M., in the City Council Chambers of the City of Austin on the 4th day of June, 1970, for the purpose of providing an opportunity for all interested persons to be heard on consideration of the institution of annexation proceedings with respect to the below described property, to-wit:

2.90 acres of land, same being out of and a part of the John Applegait Survey No. 58 in Travis County, Texas, which 2.90 acres of land are more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron pin on the present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated December 20, 1951, for the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land, which point of BEGINNING is on the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, and from which point of BEGINNING the northwest corner of that certain tract of land conveyed to the City of Austin for street purposes, same being the north line of Rundberg Lane, by warranty deed dated October 10, 1955, of record in Volume 1634 at page 355 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, bears S 29° 54' W 170.00 feet;

THENCE, with said proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, N 29° 54' E 241.39 feet to a point in the present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated January 15, 1970;

THENCE, with said present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated January 15, 1970, in a northerly direction 200.27 feet to an iron pin for the northwest corner of the herein described tract of land, same being a point in the proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin;

THENCE, with said proposed corporate limit line of the City of Austin, S60° 09' E 308.83 feet to an iron pin on the present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by ordinance dated April 6, 1967, for the northeast corner of the herein described tract of land, same being a point in the aforesaid west right-of-way line of Interregional Highway;

THENCE, with the present corporate limit line of the City of Austin as adopted by said ordinance dated April 6, 1967, and the aforesaid ordinance dated December 20, 1951, in a southerly and westerly direction to the point of BEGINNING.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Clerk be authorized and directed to cause a notice of such hearing to be published in a newspaper having general circulation in this City and in the area proposed to be annexed, such publication to be made not more than twenty (20) days, nor less than ten (10) days prior to the hearing.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

SPACE ASSIGNMENT

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company has presented to the City Council tentative maps or plans showing the proposed construction of its underground telephone duct lines in the streets in the City of Austin hereafter named, and said maps or plans have been considered by the City Council: therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

THAT Southwestern Bell Telephone Company be and the same is hereby permitted to lay and construct its underground telephone duct lines in and upon the following streets;

- (1) An underground telephone duct line in WEST 26th STREET, from a point 11 feet east of the west property line of Whitis Avenue westerly 358 feet; the centerline of which underground telephone duct line shall be 27.5 feet north of and parallel to the present monumented centerline of said WEST 26th STREET.

be and the same is hereby granted and the Director of Public Works is hereby authorized to issue a permit for the construction of such improvements, said grant and permit to be subject to the following conditions:

- (1) The improvements shall be constructed and maintained in compliance with all ordinances relating thereto.
- (2) The permit shall be issued and accepted subject to all reasonable police, traffic, fire and health regulation as the City of Austin, now existing or hereafter adopted.
- (3) The repair or relocation of any and all utilities in the vicinity necessitated by the laying of these improvements shall be done at the expense of the Southwestern Bell Telephone Company of Austin, Texas.
- (4) The Southwestern Bell Telephone Company of Austin, Texas, will indemnify and save the City of Austin harmless from any and all claims against said City growing out of or connected with the construction or maintenance of said improvements.
- (5) That all backfill under street surfaces between existing or future proposed curbs and under driveways and alleys, shall be tamped with mechanical tampers in six (6) inch layers. Each layer shall be compacted to not less than 90 per cent of maximum density as determined by the Standard Method of Test for Compaction and Density of Soils, A.A.S.H.O. Designation T99-49.

(6) The City of Austin may revoke such permit for good cause after notice to the Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, in Austin, and hearing thereon, and upon such revocation the owner of such improvements will remove the same and pay all costs and expenses attendant therewith.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

MUNICIPAL AIRPORT CONCESSIONS CONTRACTS

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 24, 1970, for a Two Year Contract for Concessions through Coin Vending Machines (Hot Drinks, Soup, Cold Drinks, Cigarettes and Candy) to be used at the Municipal Airport; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Neelley Vending Company in the sum of \$1,200 plus 13.5% of gross receipts was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Neelley Vending Company in the sum of \$1,200 plus 13.5% of gross receipts, be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Neelley Vending Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS bids were received by the City of Austin on April 24, 1970, for a Two Year Contract for Concessions through Coin Vending Machines (Chips) to be used at the Municipal Airport; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Neelley Vending Company in the sum of \$400 plus 2% of gross receipts was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Neelley Vending Company in the sum of \$400 plus 2% of gross receipts, be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Neelley Vending Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on April 24, 1970, for a Two Year Contract for Concessions through Coin Vending Machines (Laminating Machine) to be used at the Municipal Airport; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of A. R. Collins, in the sum of \$125.00 plus 10% of gross receipts was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of A. R. Collins in the sum of \$125.00 plus 10% of gross receipts, be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with A. R. Collins.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 1, 1970, for a two year contract for Concession at the Newstand-Gift Shop located in the Municipal Airport; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Dobbs Houses, Inc. in the sum of \$4,000.00 plus 6% of the gross receipts was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such

bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Dobbs Houses, Inc. in the sum of \$4,000.00 plus 6% of the gross receipts, be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Dobbs Houses, Inc.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

CASH SETTLEMENT IN LIEU OF REFUND CONTRACT

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, the owners of South Meadows have installed a sewer approach main at a cost of \$6,668.00 and water and sewer mains at a cost of \$9,138.93, pursuant to a subdivision plan called South Meadows and, pursuant to City of Austin policy, have requested cash settlement of 18%/82% on the approach mains and a 33 1/3%/66 2/3% on the water and sewer mains of the above amounts in lieu of a refund contract; and,

WHEREAS, 82% of the aforementioned \$2,668.00 equals \$2,187.67 and 66 2/3% of \$9,138.93 equals \$6,092.62 which amount is agreed upon as the cash settlement value of said utility lines, in lieu of refund contract; and,

WHEREAS, the City's proportionate share of the paving costs in such installation equals \$2,355.07; and,

WHEREAS, the Deputy City Manager and the Director of Water and Waste Water Department have recommended said cash settlement in lieu of a refund contract; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That Dan H. Davidson, Deputy City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute a cash settlement contract under the terms of which the City of Austin shall acquire title to the above described mains, from Austin/Highlands Investments, Inc., and to pay to Austin/Highlands Investments, Inc. the actual cost thereof not to exceed \$10,635.45.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

CONTRACTS AWARDED

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 12, 1970, for the installation of approximately 2,970 feet of 8" cast iron water mains and appurtenances, the salvage of approximately 1,116 feet of 8" water main and the installation of approximately 1,720 linear feet of 8" asbestos cement sanitary sewer main and appurtenances; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of J. C. Evans Construction Company in the sum of \$71,792.10 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of the Water and Waste Water Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of J. C. Evans Construction Company in the sum of \$71,792.10 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with J. C. Evans Construction Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on May 12, 1970, for the installation of approximately 7,647 feet of 24-inch concrete steel cylinder and 1,184 feet of 6-inch water main and appurtenances in North Lamar Boulevard from Rundberg Lane to Braker Lane to provide service to this rapidly developing area; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Schmidt Construction Company in the sum of \$157,480.45 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of Water and Waste Water Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Schmidt Construction Company in the sum of \$157,480.45 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Schmidt Construction Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Janes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS bids were received by the City of Austin on May 5, 1970, for Feedwater Analyzer Equipment and Accessories for Holly Power Station Unit No. 4, Contract 435; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Beckman Instrument, Inc. in the sum of \$49,087.50 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of the Electric Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Beckman Instruments, Inc. in the sum of \$49,087.50 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with Beckman Instrument, Inc.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman MacCorkle offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS bids were received by the City of Austin on May 5, 1970, for Auxiliary Switchgear for Holly Street Power Station Unit No. 4, Contract 425; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of General Electric Company in the sum of \$136,624.00 was the lowest and best bid therefor and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Director of the Electric Department of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of General Electric Company in the sum of \$136,624.00 be and the same is hereby accepted and that L. H. Andrews, City Manager of the City of Austin be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract on behalf of the City with General Electric Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

PROPERTY ACQUISITION

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the offer of the owners and claimants of the hereinafter described tract of land to convey unencumbered fee simple title thereto to the City of Austin in consideration of the cash payment of \$48,177.00 therefor be accepted, and that the City Manager or his designate be and he is hereby authorized to consummate purchase of the following described tract of land, to-wit:

The East 42.55 feet of Lot five (5), Block 168 and the West 26.45 feet of Lot Five (5), Block 168, and the East 18.55 feet of Lot Six (6), Block 168, out of the Original City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plan on file in the General Land Office of the State of Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: None
Out of Room at
Roll Call: Councilman MacCorkle

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the offer of the owners and claimants of the hereinafter described tract of land to convey unencumbered fee simple title thereto to the City of Austin in consideration of the cash payment of \$8,150.00 therefor be accepted, and that the City Manager or his designate be and he is hereby authorized to consummate purchase of the following described tract of land, to-wit:

All of Lot 5, Cedar Terrace, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or

plat of said Cedar Terrace of record in Book 3 at Page 98 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which Lot 5 was conveyed to John Joseph by Warranty Deed dated September 15, 1959, of record in Volume 2108 at Page 307 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, Price, Mayor LaRue
 Noes: None
 Out of Room at
 Roll Call: Councilman MacCorkle

Councilman Price offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the offer of the owners and claimants of the hereinafter described tract of land to convey unencumbered fee simple title thereto to the City of Austin in consideration of the cash payment of \$5,375.00 therefor be accepted, and that the City Manager or his designate be and he is hereby authorized to consummate purchase of the following described tract of land, to-wit:

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A".

(See original Exhibit A in Resolution Book)

The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, Price, Mayor LaRue
 Noes: None
 Out of Room at
 Roll Call: Councilman MacCorkle

SALE OF STRUCTURES

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:
 (RESOLUTION)

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS APPROVING THE PRICES AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN THE BID OF BETH WEBER FOR THE PURCHASE OF STRUCTURES LOCATED ON PARCEL 19-9, BRACKENRIDGE PROJECT. NO. TEX. R-94.

WHEREAS, on May 12, 1970, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolution Number 156-70, by which the Board accepted the bid of Beth Weber for the purchase of structures located on Parcel 19-9, situated in the Brackenridge Project, No. Tex. R-94, and more particularly described in said Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, said Resolution Number 156-70, as an official action of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin, is a public record on file in the office of said Agency of the City of Austin, is a public record on file in the office of said Agency at 614 West 6th Street, and said Resolution is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and,

WHEREAS, an executed copy of said Resolution was forwarded to the City Council on the 13th day of May, 1970, by the Executive Director of the Urban Renewal Agency for approval of the prices and conditions of the proposed sale of structures; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council finds nothing objectionable concerning the prices and conditions of said bid as submitted, and the recommendation of said Urban Renewal Agency Board as contained in said Resolution Number 156-70.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the prices and conditions set forth in the bid of Beth Weber for the purchase of structures located on Parcel 19-9, Brackenridge Project, Tex. R-94, are hereby approved.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes:	None
Out of Room at	
Roll Call:	Councilman MacCorkle

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS APPROVING THE PRICES AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN THE BID OF T. G. COLLINS FOR THE PURCHASE OF STRUCTURES LOCATED ON PARCEL 8-5, BRACKENRIDGE PROJECT NO. TEX. R-94.

WHEREAS, on May 12, 1970, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolution Number 157-70, by which the Board accepted the bid of T. G. Collins for the purchase of structures located on Parcel 8-5, situated in the Brackenridge Project, No. Tex. R-94, and more particularly described in said Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, said Resolution Number 157-70, as an official action of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin, is a public record on file in the office of said Agency at 614 West 6th Street, and said Resolution is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and,

WHEREAS, an executed copy of said Resolution was forwarded to the City Council on the 13th day of May, 1970, by the Executive Director of the Urban Renewal Agency for approval of the prices and conditions of the proposed sale of said structures; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council finds nothing objectionable concerning the prices and conditions of said bid as submitted, and the recommendation of said Urban Renewal Agency Board as contained in said Resolution Number 157-70.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the prices and conditions set forth in the bid of T. G. Collins for the purchase of structures located on Parcel 8-5, Brackenridge Project, Tex. R-94, are hereby approved.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes:	None
Out of Room at	
Roll Call:	Councilman MacCorkle

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS APPROVING THE PRICES AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN THE BID OF T. G. COLLINS FOR THE PURCHASE OF STRUCTURES LOCATED ON PARCEL 9-2, BRACKENRIDGE PROJECT NO. TEX. R-94

WHEREAS, on May 12, 1970, the Board of Commissioners of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin adopted Resolution Number 157-70, by which the Board accepted the bid of T. G. Collins for the purchase of structures located on Parcel 9-2, situated in the Brackenridge Project, No. Tex. R-94, and more particularly described in said Resolution; and,

WHEREAS, said Resolution Number 157-70, as an official action of the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin, is a public record on file in the office of said Agency at 614 West 6th Street, and said Resolution is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and,

WHEREAS, an executed copy of said Resolution was forwarded to the City Council on the 13th day of May, 1970, by the Executive Director of the Urban Renewal Agency for approval of the prices and conditions of the proposed sale of said structures; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council finds nothing objectionable concerning the prices and conditions of said bid as submitted, and the recommendation of said Urban Renewal Agency Board as contained in said Resolution Number 157-70.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

That the prices and conditions set forth in the bid of T. G. Collins for the purchase of structures located on Parcel 9-2, Brackenridge Project, Tex. R-94, are hereby approved.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes:	None
Out of Room at	
Roll Call:	Councilman MacCorkle

JOINT MEETING WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION

The Council scheduled a joint meeting on Monday June 1, 1970, at 1:30 P.M. with the Planning Commission to receive the 1970 Capital Improvements Program.

EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDING FOR MO-PAC BLVD. RIGHT-OF-WAY

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

Bohls, Everett D.

WHEREAS, the Master Plan of the City of Austin, duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Austin on the 8th day of June, 1961, contemplated the development of Missouri-Pacific Boulevard (now Loop 1) as an indispensable component of the Circulation Plan for the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition in fee simple of the hereinafter described property for the development and construction of said Missouri-Pacific Boulevard, together with overpasses, underpasses, access roads, connecting, and interconnecting streets and other public facilities and improvements in connection therewith; and for other public purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owner and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

The center thirty (30) feet of Lots 6 and 7 of Block 2 of the Theresa Martin Subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to the map thereof, of record in Volume "Y", Page 504, of the Minutes of the District Court of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

Ballerstedt, G. B.

WHEREAS, the Master Plan of the City of Austin, duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Austin on the 8th day of June, 1961, contemplated the development of Missouri-Pacific Boulevard (now Loop 1) as an indispensable component of the Circulation Plan for the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition in fee simple of the hereinafter described property for the development and construction of said Missouri-Pacific Boulevard, together with overpasses, underpasses, access roads, connecting, and interconnecting streets and other public facilities and improvements in connection therewith; and for other public purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owner and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

Being all of Lot Eight (8) Cedar Terrace Addition, in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat of said addition of record in Plat Book 3, Page 98, of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

Calcasieu Lumber Company

WHEREAS, the Master Plan of the City of Austin, duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Austin on the 8th day of June, 1961, contemplated the

development of Missouri-Pacific Boulevard (now Loop 1) as an indispensable component of the Circulation Plan for the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition in fee simple of the hereinafter described property for the development and construction of said Missouri-Pacific Boulevard, together with overpasses, underpasses, access roads, connecting, and interconnecting streets and other public facilities and improvements in connection therewith; and for other public purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owner and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

Lot Five (5) in Block Two (2), Theresa Martin Subdivision,
an Addition in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas,
according to the map thereof, of record in Volume 1, Page 61
of the Map Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

Robertson, Annie Bacon Tisdale

WHEREAS, the Master Plan of the City of Austin, duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Austin on the 8th day of June, 1961, contemplated the development of Missouri-Pacific Boulevard (now Loop 1) as an indispensable component of the Circulation Plan for the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council has found and determined that public necessity requires the acquisition in fee simple of the hereinafter described property for the development and construction of said Missouri-Pacific Boulevard, together with overpasses, underpasses, access roads, connecting, and interconnecting streets and other public facilities and improvements in connection therewith; and for other public purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Austin has negotiated with the owner of said land and has been unable to agree with such owner as to the fair cash market value thereof; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the City Manager be and he is hereby authorized and directed to file or cause to be filed against the owner and lienholders, a suit in eminent domain to acquire fee simple title for said purposes to the following described tract of land, to-wit:

Being all of Lots 1 and 2, Block 2, T. H. Martin Addition, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, according to a map or plat of said T. H. Martin Addition, of record in Book 1 at Page 61 of the Plat Records of Travis County, Texas, which Lots 1 and 2 were conveyed to Annie Bacon Tisdale Robertson by quitclaim deed dated April 8, 1960, of record in Volume 2173 at Page 37 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

DATE SET ON APPEAL ON A SPECIAL PERMIT

Councilman Johnson moved the Council set June 25, 10:30 A.M. as the date for hearing an appeal on the decision of the Planning Commission's denying a special permit for a Day Care Center and Kindergarten, as requested by Mr. Gregg B. Millett. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue

Noes: None

PETITION REGARDING RAILROAD CROSSING

Mrs. Sue Crider and a group of citizens from the Wooten School Area spoke regarding a railroad crossing. Mr. Fred Beckner presented the problem of the elementary school children's having to cross the railroad tracks to get to school or go circuitous routes and cross heavily travelled Anderson Lane. Wooten Drive, unopened, is used by the children to cross the tracks as pedestrians or via bicycle. The Southern Pacific Train recently has been blocking this crossing and the children crawl under the train, some dragging their bicycles under also. A petition with over 600 names was filed requesting the opening of Wooten Drive for vehicular traffic at this crossing, or to pass an ordinance prohibiting the Southern Pacific from blocking this crossing at the time that children are going to or coming from Wooten Elementary School. The City Manager stated his staff was familiar with this problem. Mr. Davidson, Deputy City Manager, said the Railroad Company had stated

if the City installed an approved pedestrian type crossing with audible signal, that they would authorize the City to construct such a crossing at this particular location, and their train traffic would observe and help to maintain an opening for that crossing. The type of signal device would cost from \$4,000 to \$6,000. With further study, if it is possible to install such a signal at the crossing prior by the opening of school in September, Mr. Davidson recommended that the City Manager be authorized to proceed. In the meantime, since this was brought to their attention and until the closing of school, the Traffic Engineer has assigned a person to be stationed at this location at the hours the children would be using this crossing. Councilman Johnson moved that the Council get this street opened by September 1st. The motion, seconded by Councilman Gage, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

C. T. JOHNSON TO DISCUSS HOSPITALIZATION
IN THE VARIOUS HOSPITALS IN AUSTIN

Mr. C. T. Johnson read his statement regarding an excess of hospital rooms in some hospitals, but no personnel, and pointing out the long waiting periods necessary to get into the hospitals. He pointed out one of the private hospitals had three wings of beautifully furnished rooms that were not in service, but added a tremendous financial burden on patients. He hoped that when Brackenridge expansion was planned that the administration had planned a staffing program. He read letters from various doctors in response to one he had written "To the Editor". He had suggested that nurseries be established for children of hospital personnel, and that Medical Corps men, as they return to civilian life be used in the community health program, and that attractive salaries be offered. Councilman Johnson stated the letter was timely in that Brackenridge Hospital is being expanded. The Mayor stated the City Manager is working on a program to expand the supply of nurses, and he will have a report in 30 to 60 days.

MRS. B. L. LAWRENCE TO DISCUSS CITY PROPERTY

Mrs. B. L. Lawrence had asked to be on the Agenda to discuss the clearing of City Property, but at this time she was not present.

USE OF TOWN LAKE FOR BOAT DEMONSTRATION

Mr. Robert Criss, speaking for Mr. Ernest J. Schmidt, requested the use of Town Lake east of the Interregional Bridge during the afternoon hours of July 25th through the 29th for boat demonstrations during the 1971 distributors' meeting. Boats would be on display about 2:30 to 3:00 P.M. and seven or eight boats would then be put on the water and would be run no later than 6:00 P.M. on those days. In discussing the noise factor, Councilman Janes recalled that last year they had no noise complaints. Councilman Janes moved that the Council grant the request. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

FINAL PASSAGE OF THE BUS ORDINANCE

The City Manager had no comments on the Bus Ordinance and recommended the final passage.

Mr. Dan Felts expressed opposition to the bus ordinance, stating, however, if it were to be passed, he would like to suggest some amendments. He again urged before final passage that certain words be stricken in the definition portion of the ordinance, the effect of which would be that a government agency would be able to lease or rent buses in their operations without having to own them. The City Manager commented that the first time this condition would exist, he would come in and make a recommendation and handle the particular case; but in the meantime he thought the ordinance was proper.

Mayor LaRue brought up the following ordinance for its third reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 34-79 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 TO PROVIDE FOR EFFECTIVE REGULATION, FRANCHISING AND CONTROL OF BUSES OPERATING WITHIN THE CITY OF AUSTIN OR ITS ENVIRONS.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Gage moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Johnson, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

ORDINANCE GRANTING FRANCHISE TO TRANSPORTATION ENTERPRISE, INC.

Mr. Dan Felts, representing Transportation Enterprise, Inc., inquired if the wording had been changed regarding initial routes. It was pointed out that routes or rerouting would require Council approval. Mr. Felts discussed the inclusion in the franchise of a request to operate a shuttle bus service and a charter service, although it was not the intent to have a charter service. He stated if the Council granted their franchise to operate a shuttle bus service so that the company could perform the service to the University of Texas, pursuant to the contract they had bid on to be effective for the fall term of the University, that the Company would abandon any request for charter bus service. The efficiency of the Austin Transit Company which would be able to retain the charter service through the entire city and have a monopoly on that business would not be impaired. Mr. Frank Denius was present but had not reviewed the ordinance under consideration at this time. It was pointed out there probably would be some amendments at the second reading.

Mayor LaRue brought up the following ordinance for its first reading:

AN ORDINANCE GRANTING TO TRANSPORTATION ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED, A FRANCHISE FOR FIFTEEN (15) YEARS TO OPERATE AND MAINTAIN A MOTOR BUS SYSTEM FOR THE TRANSPORTATION OF PERSONS AND PROPERTY UPON THE PUBLIC STREETS AND HIGHWAYS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, AND THE CODES AND ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Price moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: Councilman Gage

FINAL PASSAGE OF THE PARADE ORDINANCE

Mr. Ed Krenik, who had been involved in the Veterans' Day Parade for many years and the Loyalty Day Parade, stated he saw no objection to this ordinance, as there should be regulation of parades, and the requirements would not place any undue burden upon the two groups in which he participated. Even the cash deposit was no problem for their parades, but perhaps the cost should have some bearing to what the costs were to some of the organizations who gather bands and floats from all over the country at a great expense to the sponsoring organization. He felt this group would be paying a price for other groups that had caused undue hardship on the Police Department.

Mr. Lino A. Graglia a professor of constitutional law at The University of Texas, expressed in his opinion the proposed ordinance was unconstitutional in several respects, and discussed the ordinance in several aspects. Mr. Charles Howe, Vice President of Central Texas Chapter of American Civil Liberties Union, and also a minister, suggested that resources of the law school be sought before formal action on ordinances. Mr. Woodrow Sledge discussed the ordinance generally. Mr. Bob Lusk read from quotations of a Supreme Court Justice in some of his lectures limitations of free expression also provided in the first amendment -- free expression must not become a threat to law and order, government and security, and others. Rights of protection are also guaranteed -- permits are not rights but special privileges. No one else asked to be heard.

Mayor LaRue brought up the following ordinance for its third reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 31 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 BY AMENDING SECTION 31-12 THEREOF; REGULATING PARADES; PROVIDING FOR PROHIBITING TIME OF PARADES; PROVIDING ROUTE OF PARADES; PROVIDING A RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT; AUTHORIZING THE CITY COUNCIL TO RECEIVE APPLICATIONS AND GRANT PERMITS; PROVIDING STANDARDS FOR ISSUANCE THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR A CASH DEPOSIT; PROVIDING FOR NOTICE OF REJECTION; PRESCRIBING DUTIES OF PERMITTEES; MAKING IT UNLAWFUL TO CONDUCT A PARADE WITHOUT PERMIT AND REQUIRING COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT CONDITIONS AND APPLICABLE LAWS AND ORDINANCES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH.

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Johnson moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price
Noes: Councilmen Gage, Janes, Mayor LaRue

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

ORDINANCE REGULATING SALE OF LITERATURE TO MINORS

Councilman Gage reported he had communicated with each of the Council members by letter enclosing a copy of an ordinance drafted by the Legal Staff, stating this matter came within the purview of the Council with whatever means necessary to protect the young people. He had asked Representative Harold Davis to bring information he had gathered on what is being sold on news stands. Mr. Harold Davis, State Representative, distributed literature available on news stands to the youth. He discussed the State Law as patterned after the law sustained in the Ginsberg case. Enforcement was discussed. Comparison of the State statute with the City ordinances was made. The Council members discussed this ordinance along with Representative Davis at length.

Mayor LaRue brought up the following ordinance for its first reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 23 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967 SO AS TO ADD THERETO SECTION 23-25, MAKING IT UNLAWFUL TO SELL HARMFUL MATERIALS AS DEFINED THEREIN TO UNMARRIED PERSONS UNDER THE AGE OF SEVENTEEN YEARS; AND CONTAINING A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Johnson moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

Councilman Janes stated before the ordinance was finally passed, the Council should secure more information.

EXISTING AND PROPOSED POLICIES-
PERSONNEL POLICY - NEPOTISM

The City Manager, Mr. Andrews, reported on the Nepotism Policy covering employment. The policy would not be retroactive nor affect promotions. The Council took no action on the amended policy, but asked that it be placed on the Agenda the following week.

AGENDA DISTRIBUTION

Mr. Andrews, City Manager, stated that when any citizen wanted a copy of the printed agenda, if he would supply a self-addressed, stamped envelope, he would be mailed a copy of the agenda.

PRELIMINARY REPORT ON WATER POLLUTION

The City Manager, Mr. Andrews, reported he had sent a report to the Council based on a letter of April 29, from the Texas Water Quality Board which called attention to four specific conditions that needed correcting. These four

have either been corrected, or are in the process. He suggested creating a "Sub" Department to supervise creek maintenance and surveillance, control of general policing, maintaining creek banks and beds, inspection, control of discharge in the distribution system and other environmental factors. He had been working to consolidate these operations under one sub-department. He stated by Monday, they hoped to have a Health Officer named. Councilman Price was concerned about having a department to hold responsible for all of these activities, and stated he would like to look at the plan.

RECOGNITION OF MR. CHARLES STEWART

The Council, by rising vote, recognized Mr. Charles Stewart, Station K.H.F.I., and expressed appreciation for his services. Mr. Stewart was moving to San Angelo.

MINUTES APPROVED

Councilman Atkison moved the Council approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of April 30, 1970. The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

EXECUTIVE SESSION

The Council went into Executive Session to make Board appointments.

The Council then resumed its business in open session.

Councilman Johnson moved the Council appoint the following:

Navigation Board
Term to expire Jan. 1, 1972

New Appointees:

Mr. William (Bill) Hart

Reappointed:

Mr. Sterling Sasser, Jr.
Mr. Jesse Raven
Mr. R. J. Martin

Library Commission
Term to expire Jan. 1, 1972

Mrs. J. V. Herold
Mrs. Willie Mae Kirk
Mr. Trueman O'Quinn
Mrs. Robert Hearon
Mrs. Ed Alley

Mrs. A. W. Harris
Mrs. Claude Hill
Mrs. Robert Battle
Miss Helen Hargrave
Mrs. Herbert Ash
Mrs. Paul Boner
Mrs. T. J. Hemphill

Library Commission
continued

New Appointees

Reappointed

Mr. T. H. Williams, Jr.
Mrs. Irwin Spear
Mr. James W. Harmon

Parks & Recreation Board
Term to expire April 1, 1972

Mr. George C. Riggin
Mr. M. Z. Collins
Mrs. Cecil Cabiniss
Mrs. John Kingsbery
Mr. W. W. Coates, Jr.

Mr. James Peal

Advisory Hospital Board
(3 year terms extending to May 25, 1973)

Dr. Henry L. Hilgartner
Mr. James Pfluger
Dr. W. D. Roberts

Dr. S. H. Dryden

Solicitation Board

Term expire Nov. 5, 1970

Term expire Nov. 5, 1971

Mrs. Russell Tinsley
(To fill unexpired term)

Mr. R. V. Miller
Mrs. E. T. Morris

Pest Control
Term to expire July 28, 1971

None

Mrs. Dorothy Kerbo
Mr. Bill Youngblood

Plumbing Board
Term to expire May 22, 1972

New Appointees

Mr. J. E. Ratliff Architect

Reappointed

Mr. James C. Middleton, Chairman	Master Plumber
Mr. Richard Andrewartha	Licensed Journeyman Plumber
Mr. John Kavanaugh	Appliance Dealer
Mr. Ed Bloomquist	Air-Conditioning Contractor
Mr. M. Y. Hibler	Gas Utility Man
Mr. Arthur Schroeder	Property Owner
Mr. Frank Gerling	Mechanical Engineer

Heating & Air-Conditioning, Inc.
Term to expire March 3, 1972

New Appointees

None

Reappointed

Mr. B. Segall, Jr.	Consulting Engineer
Mr. C. R. Brownlee	Refrigeration Contractor
Mr. W. C. Gammon	Heating, Air-Conditioning & Ventilating Contractor
Mr. Dick Jordan	Building Inspector

The Ambulance Study Commission was struck from the list as it had completed its assignment.

Councilman Johnson asked that the Council have another computer run of the names.

Councilman MacCorkle suggested that the Council determine the inactive Boards and get them dissolved.

BUDGET DISCUSSION

Councilman Gage suggested that the budget review sessions held last year be continued. The Mayor stated it was helpful to the Council for the City Manager to have each department head come in with their recommendations, before the budget was put in its initial form. The Mayor asked if this could be done, department by department, the Council would schedule as many as possible each day.

SALE OF LAND TO URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY

Councilman Gage offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO CONVEY CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY TO THE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN

WHEREAS, on the 23rd day of April, 1968, the City of Austin and the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin entered into a Cooperation Agreement for the carrying out of the Brackenridge Urban Renewal Project; and,

WHEREAS, as a part of said Cooperation Agreement, the City agreed to convey to the Urban Renewal Agency certain City-owned property situated within the Brackenridge Urban Renewal Project; and,

WHEREAS, the four (4) parcels described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof are a portion of the said land to be conveyed to the Urban Renewal Agency as required by said Cooperation Agreement; and,

WHEREAS, the fair market value of said four (4) parcels aggregates the sum of \$49,500.00, which sum will be paid to the City of Austin as consideration for said conveyance; NOW, THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

1. The City Manager is hereby authorized and directed to execute a general warranty deed to the Urban Renewal Agency of the City of Austin conveying title to the property in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

2. That the consideration for such conveyance is the sum of \$49,500.00.

(See original Resolution for Exhibit A)

The motion, seconded by Councilman Price, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, Price, Mayor LaRue
Noes: None
Out of Room at
Roll Call: Councilman MacCorkle

ADJOURNMENT

Councilman Price moved the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman Atkison, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Atkison, Gage, Janes, Johnson, MacCorkle, Price,
Mayor LaRue
Noes: None

APPROVED: _____

Mayor

ATTEST: _____

City Clerk